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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Engineering and Traffic Survey is intended to serve as the basis for the establishment and enforcement of speed limits for selected streets within the County of Santa Cruz. This survey was authorized by the County and independently conducted by the private consulting firm Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc (Kimley-Horn).

Engineering and traffic surveys for speed limits are regularly conducted once every five (5) years by governing municipalities for the purpose of complying with Section 40802(a) of the California Vehicle Code (CVC) and the national Uniform Vehicle Code. Engineering and traffic surveys may be extended to every seven (7) years if criteria is met, or every ten (10) years if a registered engineer evaluates the section of the highway and determines that no significant changes in roadway or traffic conditions have occurred as specified in Section 40802(c) of the California Vehicle Code (CVC). In addition, an engineering and traffic survey should be conducted on newly constructed roadways or roadways where the roadway conditions have significantly changed. The latest Assembly Bill (AB)-43-Traffic Safety would extend the period that a speed limit justified by a traffic and engineering survey conducted more the 7 years ago remains valid, for purposes of speed enforcement, if evaluated by a registered engineer, as specified, to 14 years.

The original 'Engineering and Traffic Survey report was completed by Kimley-Horn in August 2019 and submitted to the County of Santa Cruz. Due to recent changes to the California Vehicle Code as part of 'Assembly Bill (AB) 43-Traffic Safety', the County of Santa Cruz directed Kimley-Horn to update the previously submitted report.

The California Governor's office approved AB 43 on 8 October 2021, which included amendments to Sections 627, 21400, 22352, 22354, 22358, and 40802 of, and to add Sections 22358.6, 22358.7, 22358.8, and 22358.9 to, the California Vehicle Code (CVC), relating to traffic safety.

### 1.1 Regulations and Guidelines

Division 11, Chapter 7, of the 2019 California Vehicle Code defines the California Speed Laws. Section 22352 of the CVC indicates that prima facie speed limits are 15 miles per hour (mph) at unprotected railroad grade crossings, highway intersections with site restrictions, and on any alley. In addition, the prima facie speed limit is 25 mph in residential and business districts, when approaching or passing a school building or grounds thereof or when passing a senior center or other facility primarily used by
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senior citizens. Division 1 of the CVC defines a business district and residence district in Section 235 and 515, respectively.
"A "business district" is that portion of a highway and the property contiguous thereto (a) upon one side of which highway, for a distance of 600 feet, 50 percent or more of the contiguous property fronting thereon is occupied by buildings in use for business, or (b) upon both sides of which highway, collectively, for a distance of 300 feet, 50 percent or more of the contiguous property fronting thereon is so occupied. A business district may be longer than the distances specified in this section if the above ratio of buildings in use for business to the length of the highway exists." ${ }^{1}$
"A "residence district" is that portion of a highway and the property contiguous thereto, other than a business district, (a) upon one side of which highway, within a distance of a quarter of a mile, the contiguous property fronting thereon is occupied by 13 or more separate dwelling houses or business structures, or (b) upon both sides of which highway, collectively, within a distance of a quarter of a mile, the contiguous property fronting thereon is occupied by 16 or more separate dwelling houses or business structures. A residence district may be longer than one-quarter of a mile if the above ratio of separate dwelling houses or business structures to the length of the highway exists." ${ }^{2}$

Section 22357(a) permits the establishment of speed limits greater than 25 mph based on the following text:
"Whenever a local authority determines upon the basis of an engineering and traffic survey that a speed greater than 25 miles per hour would facilitate the orderly movement of vehicular traffic and would be reasonable and safe upon any street other than a state highway otherwise subject to a prima facie limit of 25 miles per hour, the local authority may by ordinance determine and declare a prima facie speed limit of $30,35,40,45,50,55$, or 60 miles per hour or a maximum speed limit of 65 miles per hour, whichever is found most appropriate to facilitate the orderly movement of traffic and is reasonable and safe." ${ }^{3}$

Therefore, the CVC allows local authorities to increase or decrease the prima facie limits by ordinance or resolution to appropriate limits as determined by an engineering and

[^0]
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traffic survey. Posted speed limits not defined in the CVC or established by ordinance are not valid. The CVC requires that speed surveys must be performed with the use of radar or other electronic devices at locations where speed limits are to be enforced with the use of radar. The current survey must be completed within five years as specified in Section 40802(a); seven years as specified in Section 40802(c), or fourteen years as specified in Section 40802(c), of the date of the preceding survey. A survey allowed to expire passed the valid duration of the previous survey would constitute a speed trap as defined in Sections 40802(a) and 40802(b) of the CVC (with added amendments as per $A B-43)$ :
"(1) A particular section of a highway measured as to distance and with boundaries marked, designated, or otherwise determined in order that the speed of a vehicle may be calculated by securing the time it takes the vehicle to travel the known distance.
(2) A particular section of a highway with a prima facie speed limit that is provided by this code or by local ordinance under subparagraph (A) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 22352, or established under Section 22354, 22357,22358 , or 22358.3 , if that prima facie speed limit is not justified by an engineering and traffic survey conducted within five years prior to the date of the alleged violation, and enforcement of the speed limit involves the use of radar or any other electronic device that measures the speed of moving objects. This paragraph does not apply to a local street, road, or school zone, senior zone, or business activity district.
(b) (1) For purposes of this section, a local street or road is one that is functionally classified as "local" on the "California Road System Maps," that are approved by the Federal Highway Administration and maintained by the Department of Transportation. When a street or road does not appear on the "California Road System Maps," it may be defined as a "local street or road" if it primarily provides access to abutting residential property and meets the following three conditions:
(A) Roadway width of not more than 40 feet.
(B) Not more than one-half of a mile of uninterrupted length. Interruptions shall include official traffic control signals as defined in Section 445.
(C) Not more than one traffic lane in each direction.
(2) For purposes of this section "school zone" means that area approaching or passing a school building or the grounds thereof that is contiguous to a
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highway and on which is posted a standard "SCHOOL" warning sign, while children are going to or leaving the school either during school hours or during the noon recess period. "School zone" also includes the area approaching or passing any school grounds that are not separated from the highway by a fence, gate, or other physical barrier while the grounds are in use by children if that highway is posted with a standard "SCHOOL" warning sign."4
(3) For purposes of this section, "senior zone" means that area approaching or passing a senior center building or other facility primarily used by senior citizens, or the grounds thereof that is contiguous to a highway and on which is posted a standard "SENIOR" warning sign, pursuant to Section 22352.
(4) For purposes of this section, "business activity district" means a section of highway described in subdivision(b) of Section 22358.9 in which a standard 25 miles per hour or 20 miles per hour speed limit sign has been posted pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of that section.

Assembly Bill 43 added Section 22358.8 to the CVC to read:
(a) If a local authority, after completing an engineering and traffic survey, finds that the speed limit is still more than is reasonable or safe, the local authority may, by ordinance, retain the current speed limit or restore the immediately prior speed limit if that speed limit was established with an engineering and traffic survey and if a registered engineer has evaluated the section of highway and determined that no additional general purpose lanes have been added to the roadway since completion of the traffic survey that established the prior speed limit.
(b) This section does not authorize a speed limit to be reduced by any more than five miles per hour from the current speed limit nor below the immediately prior speed limit.
(c) A local authority shall issue only warning citations for violations of exceeding the speed limit by 10 miles per hour or less for the first 30 days that a lower speed limit is in effect as authorized by this section.
${ }^{4}$ California Legislative Information, California Law, Vehicle Code, Division 17. Chapter 3, Section 40802, 2019.
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### 1.2 Requirements and Methodology of an Engineering and Traffic Study

Speed zones are primarily established to protect the public from the unreasonable behavior of reckless, unreliable, or otherwise dangerous drivers. Speed limits are generally established at or near the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed, which is defined as the speed at or below which 85 percent of traffic is moving. Speed limits established on this basis conform to the consensus of those who drive on the roadways as to what speed is reasonable and safe, and are not dependent on the judgment of one or a few individuals.

The Engineering and Traffic Survey, as defined in Section 627 of the CVC, must consider the prevailing speeds, collision records, pedestrian and bicycle activity, and roadway traffic and roadside conditions not readily apparent to the driver. Speed zones are also established to advise motorists of road conditions or hazards, which may not be readily apparent to a reasonable driver. For this reason, a field review of related road/traffic variables is conducted which is considered in combination with the statistical data and collision history of a particular roadway segment to determine a safe and reasonable speed limit. The specific procedures used in the performance of an Engineering and Traffic Study are outlined in the 2014 California MUTCD. The statistical factors used to analyze the collected speed survey data and additional factors as noted in the 2014 California MUTCD to consider are defined in the following section.
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### 2.0 Speed Survey Evaluation

Twenty-seven (27) locations were evaluated by Kimley-Horn and included in this report. These roadway sections and limits of the sections are listed in Table 1.

Table 1: Survey Locations and Limits Evaluated

| NO | STREET | LIMIT 1 | LIMIT 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of Data } \\ \text { Collection Points } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a | Amesti Rd | Green Valley Rd | Pinto St | 1 |
| 1b | Amesti Rd | Pinto St | 0.40 miles north of Green Valley Rd | 1 |
| 2 | Amesti Rd | 0.4 miles north of Green Valley Rd | E. Rianda Rd | 1 |
| 3 | Browns Valley Rd | Corralitos Rd | Amesti Rd | 1 |
| 4 | Browns Valley Rd | Amesti Rd | 1600' north of Amesti Rd | 1 |
| 5a | Buena Vista Dr | Bowker Rd | Freedom Blvd | 1 |
| 5b | Buena Vista Dr | Manfre Rd | Bowker Rd | 1 |
| 6 | Casserly Rd | Green Valley Rd | State Route 152 | 3 |
| 7 | East Zayante Rd | Graham Hill Rd | 0.10 miles north of Lompico Rd | 2 |
| 8 | East Zayante Rd | 0.10 miles north of Lompico Rd | 0.20 miles northeast of Westwood Rd | 2 |
| 9a | Empire Grade | Santa Cruz City Limits | 1500' north of Ben Lomond Conservation Camp | 7 |
| 9b | Empire Grade | 1500' north of Ben Lomond Conservation Camp | End of road at Lockheed Facility | 1 |
| 10 | Glen Arbor Rd | 0.30 miles north of Hwy 9 | Newell Creek Bridge | 1 |
| 11 | Glen Canyon Rd | Branciforte Dr | Scotts Valley City Limits | 2 |
| 12 | Graham Hill Rd | Railroad Tracks | Hwy 9 | 1 |
| 13 | Mar Monte Ave | San Andreas Rd | Just south of intersection of Alta Dr | 1 |
| 14 | San Andreas Rd | 0.25 miles northwest of Mar Monte Ave | 0.34 miles southeast of SPRR Underpass | 1 |
| 15 | Wheelock Rd | Green Valley Rd | Casserly Rd | 2 |
| 16 | Whiting Rd | Casserly Rd | 0.63 miles southwest | 1 |
| 17 | Sims Rd | Graham Hill Rd | La Madrona Dr | 1 |
| 18 | Larkin Valley Rd | Airport Blvd | Buena Vista Dr | 2 |
| 19 | Larkin Valley Rd | Buena Vista Dr | Mar Monte Ave | 2 |
| 21 | Amesti Rd | E. Rianda Rd | Varni Rd | 1 |
| 22 | Paulsen Rd | Green Valley Rd | Trembly Ln | 1 |
| 23 | Paulsen Rd | Trembly Ln | Whiting Rd | 1 |
| 24 | Buena Vista Dr | San Andreas Rd | Buena Vista Landfill Dwy | 1 |
| 25 | Buena Vista Dr | Buena Vista Landfill Dwy | Hwy 1 | 1 |

### 2.1 Field Review

Speed data was collected using manual radar surveys performed by a sub-consultant to Kimley-Horn, IDAX Data Solutions (IDAX). Each of the radar speed checks were made from an inconspicuously parked, unmarked vehicle or by a technician standing on the
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side of the road. An effort was made to ensure that the presence of the vehicle or technician in no way affected the speed of the traffic being surveyed. Field information from these speed surveys and other roadway characteristics were recorded on field data forms and later coded into engineering software for analysis purposes. Chapter 2B of the 2014 California MUTCD indicates that it is desirable to have a minimum sample of 100 vehicles for a speed zone survey for an arterial street. This may result in excessive survey periods for low volume roadways, but a survey should not contain less than 50 vehicles. In addition, average daily traffic volumes (ADT) and roadway widths were collected at all the locations.

Examples of the field data collected for the purposes of analyzing related roadway characteristics as they pertain to the determination of appropriate speed limits are listed below. The results of the field review for related roadway and traffic variables are summarized in the Engineering and Traffic Survey forms included in the Appendix.

1. Segment length, width and alignment;
2. Level of pedestrian, bicycle, and truck activity
3. Traffic flow characteristics;
4. Number of lanes and other channelization/striping factors;
5. Frequency of intersections, driveways, on-street parking, bike lanes;
6. Locations of stop signs, traffic signals, and other regulatory traffic control devices;
7. Pavement condition;
8. Obstructions to driver/pedestrian visibility;
9. Land use and proximity of schools, parks/recreation areas and senior centers;
10. Uniformity with existing speed zones in adjacent jurisdictions; and,
11. Any other unusual conditions or hazards not readily apparent to the driver.

### 2.2 Statistical Analysis Factors

Significant factors used to analyze the collected survey data are summarized below:

1. $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speed. The Critical Speed, or the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed, is defined as that speed at or below which 85 percent of the traffic is moving. This factor is the primary guide in determining what speeds the majority of safe and reasonable drivers are traveling. Therefore, the practice is to set the speed limit to the nearest 5 mph increment from the critical speed unless other factors require a lower limit. Speed limits set on this basis provide law enforcement officials with a means of controlling reckless or unreliable drivers who will not conform to what the majority finds reasonable.
2. The $\mathbf{1 0}-\mathbf{m p h}$ Pace. The $10-\mathrm{mph}$ Pace is the $10-\mathrm{mph}$ increment range, which contains the largest number of recorded vehicles. The pace is a measure of the dispersion of speeds within the sample surveyed. Speed limits should normally be set to fall within the $10-\mathrm{mph}$ pace. However, conditions not readily apparent to the driver or adhering to State mandated limits such as in Residence Districts may require setting speed limits below the 10-mph pace.
3. $\quad \mathbf{5 0}^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speed. The Median Speed, or $50^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speed, represents the mid-point value within the range of recorded speeds for a particular roadway location. In other words, 50 percent of the vehicles travel faster than and 50 percent travel slower than, the median speed. This value is another measure of the central tendency of the vehicle speed distribution. Typically speed limits should not be set below the $50^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speed, since it would result in greater than 50-percent of the drivers exceeding the speed limit.
4. $\quad \mathbf{1 5}^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speed. The $15^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speed is that speed at or below which 15 percent of the vehicles are traveling. This value is important in determining the minimum allowable speed limit, given that the vehicles traveling below this speed tend to obstruct the flow of traffic, thereby increasing the collision potential.
5. Percent of Vehicles in Pace Speed. The percent of vehicles in the $10-\mathrm{mph}$ pace speed is an indication of the grouping of vehicular speeds. Ideally, if all vehicles were traveling at or about the same speed, there would be a reduced likelihood of vehicular collisions. In speed limit analysis, the higher the percent of vehicles within the pace speed, the more favorable the speed distribution. The percent of the 10 -mph pace is often between 60 and 90 percent.

### 2.3 2014 California MUTCD and CVC Guidance

Based on the 2014 California MUTCD, speed limits "shall be established at the nearest 5 mph increment of the $85^{\text {th }}$-percentile speed of free-flowing traffic. ${ }^{5}$ In matching existing conditions with the traffic safety needs of the community, engineering judgment may indicate the need for a reduction of the posted speed limit by 5 mph due to specific factors such as road characteristics, the pace speed, roadside development and environment, pedestrian activity, and collision history. Alternatively, the 2014 California MUTCD states that "for cases in which the nearest 5 mph increment of the 85thpercentile speed would require a rounding up, then the speed limit may be rounded down to the nearest 5 mph increment below the 85 th-percentile speed, if no further
${ }^{5}$ California Department of Transportation, 2014 California MUTCD, Chapter 2B, page 134, 7 November 2014.
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reduction is used." ${ }^{5}$ The following are some other factors to consider when establishing speed limits between adjacent street segments:

1. Avoid Short Segments. Short speed zones of less than $1 / 2$ mile should be avoided, except in transition areas.
2. Change in Roadway Conditions or Roadside Development. Speed zone changes should be coordinated with changes in roadway conditions or roadside development.
3. Minimize Change in Speed between Adjacent Segments. Speed zoning should be in 10 mph increments except in urban areas where 5 mph increments are preferable.
4. Coordinate Speed Zoning with Adjacent Jurisdictions.

### 2.4 Collision History

The Engineering and Traffic Survey forms summarize the available collision information for each of the street segments. The collision information was obtained from the Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) from January 2017 to December 2019. The collisions were reviewed and corridor related collisions, those not related to signalized intersections, signs, or alcohol and drug influence, were summarized for each segment. Based on the number of total collisions studied over the 3-year period and ADT counts, a collision rate per million vehicle miles was calculated for each segment. To provide a general comparison of the collision rates on the segments to expected collisions rates for similar types of local roadways, the collision rates for each segment were compared to the statewide average rate listed in the 2019 Collision Data on California State Highways (road miles, travel, collisions, collision rates) as listed in Table 2.

Table 2: 2019 California State Highways Collision Rates

| Lane Type | Total Collision Rate Per Million Vehicle Miles <br> (3-year rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019) |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2\&3 Lanes | 1.06 |
| 4 lanes (undivided highway) | 1.03 |
| 4 lanes (divided highway) | 0.82 |
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### 3.0 Results And Recommendations

The recommendations contained in this report are intended to establish prima facie speed limits. Prima facie limits attempt to advise the motorist and enforcement of the reasonable speed for a particular section of roadway for the prevailing conditions. In many cases, the recommendations made produce a uniform speed limit along the road.

Note: Even though the speed surveys were conducted separately for each segment, Segments 1a - Amesti Road (between Green Valley Road and Pinto Street) and 1b Amesti Road (between Pinto Street and 0.40 miles north of Green Valley Road) were combined due to short length of these segments and similar characteristics.

The Engineering and Traffic Survey forms, presented in the Appendix, illustrate the results of a thorough evaluation of the available data and indicate a recommended speed limit for each of the street segments surveyed. A summary of the data analysis, along with recommended speed limits can be found in Table 3. The recommended speed limit for each segment is shown in Figure 1.

Table 3: Speed Survey Recommendations

| No. | Street Segment | Existing <br> Speed Limit (mph) | Recom. <br> Speed Limit <br> (mph) |  | Median <br> Speed <br> (mph) | 10 mph <br> Pace <br> Range <br> (mph) |  | Justification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 a \\ \& 1 \\ b \end{gathered}$ | Amesti Road between Green Valley Road and Pinto Street \& between Pinto Road and 0.40 miles north of Green Valley Road | 25 | 25 | $\begin{aligned} & 36.4 \\ & 43.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.3 \\ & 37.5 \end{aligned}$ | $26-35$ <br> 32-41 | $\begin{aligned} & 67.5 \\ & 64.0 \end{aligned}$ | The segments accident rate of 3.42 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate, proximity to Amesti Elementary School, multiple residential driveways along the segment, and the unsignalized pedestrian crossing at the school, maintaining the existing posted speed limit is justified per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 25 mph . |
| 2 | Amesti Road between 0.4 miles north of Green Valley Road to E. Rianda Road | 40 | 40 | 44.4 | 39.4 | 35-44 | 69.5 | Per CA MUTCD Section 2B, Paragraph 12a, Option 2, the $85^{\text {th }}$-percentile speed limit can be rounded down to the nearest 5 mph increment below the $85^{\text {th }}$-percentile speed. <br> It is recommended that the posted speed limit remain at 40 mph . |
| 3 | Browns Valley Road between Corralitos Road and Amesti Road | 30 | 30 | 33.7 | 28.6 | 25-34 | 72.5 | Per CA MUTCD Section 2B, Paragraph 12a, Option 2, the $85^{\text {th }}$-percentile speed limit can be rounded down to the nearest 5 mph increment below the $85^{\text {th }}$-percentile speed. <br> It is recommended that the posted speed limit remain at 30 mph. |
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| No. | Street Segment | Existing <br> Speed Limit (mph) | Recom. <br> Speed Limit <br> (mph) |  | Median <br> Speed <br> (mph) | 10 mph <br> Pace <br> Range <br> (mph) | \% of Veh. In Pace | Justification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Browns Valley Road between Amesti Road to 1600' north of Amesti Road | 30 | 30 | 41.9 | 36.4 | 32-41 | 65.5 | The segment accident rate of 6.46 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate and presence of vertical curves justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 30 mph . |
| 5a | Buena Vista Drive between Bowker Road to Freedom Boulevard | 30 | 30 | 35.8 | 30.9 | 27-36 | 73.5 | The segment accident rate of 4.05 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves and multiple driveways justify maintaining the existing posted limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 30 mph . |
| 5b | Buena Vista Drive between Manifre Road to Bowker Road | 30 | 30 | 41.0 | 35.5 | 32-41 | 66 | The segment accident rate of 2.36 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves, multiple driveways and uncontrolled crosswalk justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 30 mph . |
| 6 | Casserly Road between Green Valley Road to State Route 152 | 35 | 35 | 44.0 | 38.6 | 34-43 | 67.3 | The segment accident rate of 1.85 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate and presence of horizontal/vertical curves justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 35 mph . |
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| No. | Street Segment | Existing <br> Speed <br> Limit <br> (mph) | Recom. <br> Speed <br> Limit <br> (mph) | 85\% <br> Speed <br> (mph) | Median Speed (mph) | 10 mph Pace Range (mph) | \% of Veh. In Pace | Justification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | E Zayante Road between Graham Hill Road to 0.10 miles north of Lompico Road | 40 | 40 | 46.4 | 41.6 | 37-46 | 74.3 | The segment accident rate of 1.23 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves and driveways justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 40 mph . |
| 8 | E Zayante Road between 0.10 miles north of Lompico Road to 0.20 miles northeast of Westwood Road | 25 | 25 | 31.2 | 27.1 | 23-32 | 84.0 | The segment accident rate of 2.95 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate and sight distance constraints (per 2019 survey) justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 25 mph . |
| 9a | Empire Grade between Santa Cruz City Limits to 1500' north of Ben Lomond Conservation Camp | 40 | 40 | 50.5 | 44.0 | 41-50 | 63.5 | The segment accident rate of 1.74 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves and sight distance constraints (per 2019 survey) justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 40 mph . |
| 9b | Empire Grade between 1500 ' north of Ben Lomond Conservation Camp to End of road at | 40 | 40 | 49.1 | 42.0 | 40-49 | 59.0 | The segment accident rate of 2.31 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06. The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves and sight distance constraints (per 2019 survey) justify maintaining the existing posted speed |
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| No. | Street Segment | Existing <br> Speed Limit (mph) | Recom. <br> Speed Limit (mph) | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \% \\ & \text { Speed } \\ & \text { (mph) } \end{aligned}$ | Median Speed (mph) | 10 mph <br> Pace <br> Range <br> (mph) |  | Justification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lockheed Facility |  |  |  |  |  |  | limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 40 mph . |
| 10 | Glen Arbor Road between 0.30 miles north of Highway 9 to Newell Creek Bridge | 30 | 30 | 39.4 | 34.9 | 31-40 | 71.5 | The segment accident rate of 1.77 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves and multiple residential driveways justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 30 mph . |
| 11 | Glen Canyon Road between Branciforte Drive to Scotts Valley City Limits | 30 | 30 | 43.0 | 37.6 | 34-43 | 69.3 | The segment accident rate of 2.59 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves and sight distance constraints (per 2019 survey) justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 30 mph . |
| 12 | Graham Hill Road between Railroad Tracks to Highway 9 | 30 | 30 | 37.4 | 32.8 | 30-39 | 69.7 | The segment accident rate of 3.29 is higher than the statewide average rate of 0.82 to 1.06 . The higher collision rate, uncontrolled crosswalk, and proximity to a park justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 30 mph . |
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| No. | Street Segment | Existing <br> Speed Limit (mph) | Recom. <br> Speed Limit <br> (mph) | 85\% <br> Speed <br> (mph) | Median <br> Speed <br> (mph) | 10 mph <br> Pace <br> Range <br> (mph) | \% of Veh. In Pace | Justification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | Mar Monte Avenue between San Andreas Road to just south of intersection of Alta Drive | 25 | 25 | 30.6 | 26.4 | 22-31 | 76.0 | The segment accident rate of 3.29 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate, uncontrolled crosswalk, presence of vertical curves and multiple residential driveways justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 25 mph . |
| 14 | San Andreas Road between 0.25 miles northwest of Mar Monte Avenue to 0.34 miles southeas of SPRR Underpass | 30/40 | 30 | 40.8 | 36.1 | 32-41 | 72.5 | The segment accident rate of 1.83 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate, uncontrolled crosswalk, and multiple residential justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 30/40 mph. |
| 15 | Wheelock Road between Green Valley Road to Casserly Road | 35 | 35 | 40.3 | 34.7 | 30-39 | 64.0 | The segment accident rate of 4.72 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves, and the proximity to a school justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit to remain at 35 mph . |
| 16 | Whiting Road between Casserly Road to 0.63 miles southwest | 35 | 35 | 40.5 | 33.1 | 29-38 | 60.4 | The segment accident rate of 1.82 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves and proximity to |

Engineering and Traffic Survey for Speed Limits

Kimley»Horn

| No. | Street Segment | Existing <br> Speed Limit (mph) | Recom. <br> Speed Limit <br> (mph) | 85\% <br> Speed <br> (mph) | Median <br> Speed <br> (mph) | 10 mph <br> Pace <br> Range <br> (mph) |  | Justification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | school justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 35 mph . |
| 17 | Sims Road between Graham Hill Road to La Madrona Drive | 25 | 25 | 33.3 | 29.6 | 25-34 | 85.0 | The segment accident rate of 1.67 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate, uncontrolled crosswalk, proximity to Brook Knoll Elementary school, and multiple residential driveways for fronting residential justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 25 mph . |
| 18 | Larkin Valley Road between Airport Boulevard to Buena Vista Drive | 35 | 35 | 38.0 | 31.4 | 26-35 | 67.3 | The segment accident rate of 15.69 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves and sight distance constraints (per 2019 survey) justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 35 mph . |
| 19 | Larkin Valley Road between Buena Vista Drive to Mar Monte Avenue | 35/40 | 35 | 42.1 | 36.1 | 32-41 | 67.3 | The segment accident rate of 2.32 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal curve, and sight distance constraints (per 2019 survey) justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at $35 / 40 \mathrm{mph}$. |

Engineering and Traffic Survey for Speed Limits

Kimley»Horn

| No. | Street Segment | Existing <br> Speed Limit (mph) | Recom. <br> Speed Limit (mph) | 85\% <br> Speed <br> (mph) | Median <br> Speed <br> (mph) | 10 mph <br> Pace <br> Range <br> (mph) |  | Justification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | Amesti Road between E. Rianda Road to Varni Road | 35 | 35 | 44.0 | 37.8 | 33-42 | 65.5 | The segment accident rate of 1.01 is lower than the statewide average rate of 1.06. Multiple residential driveways and presence of horizontal/vertical curves justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended the speed limit remain at 35 mph. |
| 22 | Paulsen Road between Green Valley Road to Trembly Lane | 25 | 25 | 31.0 | 25.0 | 21-30 | 64.5 | The segment accident rate of 6.95 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate and multiple residential driveways justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit increase remain at 25 mph . |
| 23 | Paulsen Road between Trembly Lane to Whiting Road | 25/35 | 25 | 40.3 | 35.8 | 32-41 | 72.5 | The segment accident rate of 1.13 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves, and slow-moving farm vehicle crossings justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at $25 / 35 \mathrm{mph}$. |
| 24 | Buena Vista Drive between San Andreas Road to Buena Vista Landfill Driveway | 35 | 35 | 41.8 | 36.5 | 34-43 | 73.0 | The segment accident rate of 2.23 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate and presence of horizontal/vertical curves justify maintaining the |
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Kimley»Horn

| No. | Street Segment | Existing <br> Speed Limit (mph) | Recom. <br> Speed Limit <br> (mph) |  | Median <br> Speed <br> (mph) | 10 mph <br> Pace <br> Range <br> (mph) |  | Justification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 35 mph . |
| 25 | Buena Vista Drive between Buena Vista Landfill Driveway to Highway 1 | 35/40 | 35 | 39.4 | 34.9 | 31-40 | 76.5 | The segment accident rate of 4.16 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate and presence of horizontal/vertical curves justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at $35 / 40 \mathrm{mph}$. |

Engineering and Traffic Survey for Speed Limits


## Appendix

## Engineering and Traffic Survey Forms

# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY 

| STREET: Amesti Road FROM: Green Valley Road |  | SURVEY DATE: 7/18/2019 <br> TO: Pinto Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| SPEED DATA |  |  |  |
| Location of Speed Survey | 200' south of Pinto Street | Posted Speed Limit | 25 mph |
| Time of Speed Survey | 10:54 AM - 12:23 PM | Recommended Speed Limit | 25 mph |
| 50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) | 30.3 mph | Speed Limit Change | No |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 36.4 mph |  |  |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | 26-35 mph |  |  |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | 67.5\% |  |  |
| Number of Survey Samples | 200 |  |  |

## COLLISION HISTORY

| Number of Years Studied | 3 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Collisions | 5 |  |
| Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) | 6.94 |  |
| Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) | 1.06 |  |
|  |  |  |
| TRAFFIC FACTORS |  |  |
| Average Daily Traffic | 3,948 |  |
| Type of Traffic Control | None |  |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Low | (not observed during school, but school is located to the west of Green Valley Road) |
| Truck Traffic | Low |  |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Length of Segment } & 880 \\ \text { Width } & 48^{\prime}\end{array}$
Number of Lanes EB-1 WB-1
Street Classification Collecto
Divided Median? No
Designated Bike Route? No
Bike Lanes? Yes
Uncontrolled Crosswalks? Yes
On-Street Parking? Yes
Sidewalks? Yes
Driveways? Many
Vertical Curve None
Horizontal Curve None
Visibility Good
Pavement Condition Good
Adjacent Land Use School, Residential, and Rural

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

The 85 th-percentile speed of 36.4 mph indicates a 35 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 26 mph to 35 mph and the suggested speed limit is within this range. The higher collision rate, proximity to Amesti Elementary School, multiple residential driveways along the segment, and the unsignalized pedestrian crossing at the school, maintaining the existing posted speed limit is justified per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 25 mph .


# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY 

| STREET: Amesti Road | SURVEY DATE: $5 / 28 / 2019$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FROM: | Pinto Street |  | TO: |
|  |  |  |  |
| SPEED DATA |  |  |  |
| Location of Speed Survey | Posted Speed Limit |  |  |
| Time of Speed Survey | 100 north of Pinto Street | Recommended Speed Limit | 25 mph |
| 50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) | 37.5 mph | Speed Limit Change | No |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 43.6 mph |  |  |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | $32-41 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | $64.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Number of Survey Samples | 200 |  |  |

## COLLISION HISTORY

| Number of Years Studied | 3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Collisions | 1 |
| Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) | 0.96 |
| Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) | 1.06 |

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

| Average Daily Traffic | 4,176 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Type of Traffic Control | None |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Low |
| Truck Traffic | Low |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | $1200^{\prime}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Width | $25^{\prime}$ |  |
| Number of Lanes | EB $-1 \quad$ WB -1 |  |
| Street Classification | Collector |  |
| Divided Median? | No |  |
| Designated Bike Route? | No |  |
| Bike Lanes? | No |  |
| Uncontrolled Crosswalks? | No |  |
| On-Street Parking? | No |  |
| Sidewalks? | No |  |
| Driveways? | Few |  |
| Vertical Curve | None |  |
| Horizontal Curve | None |  |
| Visibility | Good |  |
| Pavement Condition | Good |  |
| Adjacent Land Use | Residential, and Rural |  |

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

The 85th-percentile speed of 43.6 mph indicates a 45 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 32 mph to 41 mph and the suggested speed limit is above this range. The higher collision rate, proximity to Amesti Elementary School, multiple residential driveways along the segment, and the unsignalized pedestrian crossing at the school, maintaining the existing posted speed limit is justified per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 25 mph .


# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY 

$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { STREET: } & \text { Amesti Road } & \text { SURVEY DATE: } 5 / 28 / 2019 \\ \text { FROM: } & 0.4 \text { miles north of Green Valley Road } & & \text { TO: } \\ \text { E Rianda Road }\end{array}\right]$

## COLLISION HISTORY

Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 4
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 1.16
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

| Average Daily Traffic | 3,159 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Type of Traffic Control | None |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Low |
| Truck Traffic | Low |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | $5280^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width | $25^{\prime}$ |

Number of Lanes EB-1 WB-1
Street Classification Collecto
Divided Median? No
Designated Bike Route? No
Bike Lanes? No
Uncontrolled Crosswalks? No
On-Street Parking? No
Sidewalks? No
Driveways? Few
Vertical Curve Yes
Horizontal Curve Yes
Visibility Good
Pavement Condition Moderate
Adjacent Land Use Residential and Rural

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

The 85th-percentile speed of 44.4 mph indicates a 45 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 35 mph to 44 mph and the suggested speed limit is above this range. The collision rate is above the expected rate. The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves and driveways, Per CA MUTCD Section 2B, Paragraph 12a, Option 2, the 85th-percentile speed limit can be rounded down to the nearest 5 mph increment below the 85th-percentile speed. Therefore, it is recommended that the posted speed limit remain at 40 mph .


# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY 

| STREET: | Browns Valley Road | SURVEY DATE: $6 / 7 / 2019$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FROM: | Corralitos Road | TO: | Amesti Road |


| SPEED DATA |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location of Speed Survey | 26 Browns Valley Road | Posted Speed Limit | 30 mph |
| Time of Speed Survey | $10: 27 \mathrm{AM}-11: 39 \mathrm{AM}$ | Recommended Speed Limit | 30 mph |
| 50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) | 28.6 mph | Speed Limit Change | No |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 33.7 mph |  |  |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | $25-34 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | $72.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Number of Survey Samples | 200 |  |  |

COLLISION HISTORY
Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 1
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 0.86
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

| Average Daily Traffic | 3,067 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Type of Traffic Control | All-way stop control at Corralitos Rd |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Low |
| Truck Traffic | Low |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

Length of Segment 1837'
Width 28'
Number of Lanes EB-1 WB-1
Street Classification Collector
Divided Median? No
Designated Bike Route? No
Bike Lanes? No
Uncontrolled Crosswalks? No
On-Street Parking? Yes - Discontinuous (Parallel parking and 90 degree parking available on the western end of segment)
Sidewalks? No
Driveways? Few
Vertical Curve Yes - Few
Horizontal Curve Yes
Visibility Good
Pavement Condition Moderate
Adjacent Land Use Residential and Rural

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

The 85th-percentile speed of 33.7 mph indicates a 35 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 25 mph to 34 mph and the suggested speed limit is above this range. The collision rate is below the expected rate. Per CA MUTCD Section 2B, Paragraph 12a, Option 2, the 85th-percentile speed limit can be rounded down to the nearest 5 mph increment below the 85 th-percentile speed. Therefore, it is recommended that the posted speed limit remains at 30 mph .


# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY 

| STREET: Browns Valley Road FROM: Amesti Road |  | SURVEY DATE: 6/7/2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TO: $\quad 1600 \mathrm{ft}$ north of Amesti | Road |
| SPEED DATA |  |  |  |
|  | 730' North of Amesti Rd/ Browns |  |  |
| Location of Speed Survey | Valley Rd | Posted Speed Limit | 30 mph |
| Time of Speed Survey | 12:21 PM - 2:15 PM | Recommended Speed Limit | 30 mph |
| 50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) | 36.4 mph | Speed Limit Change | No |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 41.9 mph |  |  |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | 32-41 mph |  |  |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | 65.5\% |  |  |
| Number of Survey Samples | 200 |  |  |

## COLLISION HISTORY

Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 4
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 6.46
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

| Average Daily Traffic | 1,866 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Type of Traffic Control | None |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Low |
| Truck Traffic | Low |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | $1600^{\prime}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Width | $25^{\prime}$ |  |
| Number of Lanes | NB $-1 \quad$ SB - 1 |  |
| Street Classification | Collector |  |
| Divided Median? | No |  |
| Designated Bike Route? | No |  |
| $\quad$ Bike Lanes? | No |  |
| Uncontrolled Crosswalks? | No |  |
| On-Street Parking? | No |  |
| Sidewalks? | No |  |
| Driveways? | Few |  |
| Vertical Curve | Yes - Few |  |
| Horizontal Curve | None |  |
| Visibility | Good |  |
| Pavement Condition | Moderate |  |
| Adjacent Land Use | Residential and Rural |  |

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

The 85th-percentile speed of 41.9 mph indicates a 40 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 32 mph to 41 mph and the suggested speed limit is within this range. The segment accident rate of 6.46 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate and presence of vertical curves justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 30 mph .


# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> engineering and traffic survey 

STREET: Buena Vista Drive
SURVEY DATE: 5/28/2019
FROM:
Bowker Road
TO: Freedom Boulevard

## SPEED DATA

| Location of Speed Survey | Between Calabasas Rd \& Miller Rd | Posted Speed Limit | 30 mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time of Speed Survey | $9: 35 \mathrm{AM}-10: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | Recommended Speed Limit | 30 mph |
| 50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) | 30.9 mph | Speed Limit Change | No |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 35.8 mph |  |  |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | $27-36 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | $73.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Number of Survey Samples | 200 |  |  |

COLLISION HISTORY
Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 17
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 4.05
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

| Average Daily Traffic |  | 6,173 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Type of Traffic Control |  | None |
| Pedestrian Traffic |  | Moderate |
| Truck Traffic | Low |  |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | 3275 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width | $25^{\prime}$ |

Number of Lanes EB-1 WB-1
Street Classification Collector
Divided Median? No
Designated Bike Route? Yes (Bike path located adjacent to the shoulder where parking is allowed)
Bike Lanes? No
Uncontrolled Crosswalks? No
On-Street Parking? Yes-Discontinuous
Sidewalks? Yes-Discontinuous
Driveways? Many
Vertical Curve Yes
Horizontal Curve Yes
Visibility Good
Pavement Condition Moderate
Adjacent Land Use
Residential and Rural

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

The average 85th-percentile speed of 35.8 mph indicates a 35 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 27 mph to 36 mph and the suggested speed limit is within this range. The segment accident rate of 4.05 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06. The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves and multiple driveways justify maintaining the existing posted limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 30 mph .


STREET: Buena Vista Drive
FROM: Manfre Road

SURVEY DATE: 5/28/2019
TO: Bowker Road

## SPEED DATA

| Location of Speed Survey | 400 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time of Speed Survey of Valdez Ln | Posted Speed Limit | 30 mph |  |
| 50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) | $3: 15 \mathrm{AM}-9: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | Recommended Speed Limit | 30 mph |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 41.0 mph | Speed Limit Change | No |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | $32-41 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | $66.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Number of Survey Samples | 200 |  |  |

8:15 AM - 9:15 AM
35.5 mph
66.0\%

200

## COLLISION HISTORY

Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 5
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 2.36
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

Average Daily Traffic 4,599
Type of Traffic Control None
Pedestrian Traffic Low
Truck Traffic Low

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | $2215^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width | $25^{\prime}$ |
| Number of Lanes | EB $-1 \quad$ WB -1 |
| Street Classification | Collector |
| Divided Median? | No |
| Designated Bike Route? | No |
| $\quad$ Bike Lanes? | No |
| Uncontrolled Crosswalks? | Yes |
| On-Street Parking? | No |
| Sidewalks? | No |
| Driveways? | Many |
| Vertical Curve | Yes |
| Horizontal Curve | Yes |
| Visibility | Good |
| Pavement Condition | Moderate |
| Adjacent Land Use | Residential and Rural |

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

The average 85 th-percentile speed of 41.0 mph indicates a 40 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 32 mph to 41 mph and the suggested speed limit is within this range. The segment accident rate of 2.36 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves, multiple driveways and uncontrolled crosswalk justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 30 mph .


# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> engineering And traffic survey 

STREET: Casserly Rd
SURVEY DATE: 5/29/2019
FROM:
Green Valley Road
TO:
State Route 152

## SPEED DATA

\(\left.\begin{array}{llll}\hline Location of Speed Survey \& See Comments \& Posted Speed Limit \& 35 \mathrm{mph} <br>
Time of Speed Survey \& 9: 15 \mathrm{AM}-11: 13 \mathrm{AM}, 11: 36 \mathrm{AM}-12: 36 \& Recommended Speed Limit \& 35 \mathrm{mph} <br>

50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) \& PM, 1:05 PM - 2:14 PM \& 38.6 \mathrm{mph} \& Speed Limit Change\end{array}\right]\) No | 85th Percentile Speed | 44.0 mph |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | $34-43 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | $67.3 \%$ |  |
| Number of Survey Samples | 200 |  |

COLLISION HISTORY
Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 24
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 1.85
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

| Average Daily Traffic | 3,260 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Type of Traffic Control | All-way stop control at Whiting Road and Highway 152 |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Moderate (not observed during school times, school located at Whiting road) |
| Truck Traffic | Moderate |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | $19219^{\prime}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| Width | $21^{\prime}-23^{\prime}$ |  |
| Number of Lanes | EB $-1 \quad$ WB -1 |  |
| Street Classification | Collector |  |
| Divided Median? | No |  |
| Designated Bike Route? | No |  |
| Bike Lanes? | No |  |
| Uncontrolled Crosswalks? | No |  |
| On-Street Parking? | No |  |
| Sidewalks? | No |  |
| Driveways? | Few |  |
| Vertical Curve | Yes |  |
| Horizontal Curve | Yes |  |
| Visibility | Good |  |
| Pavement Condition | Poor |  |
| Adjacent Land Use | School, Residential and Rural |  |

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

For this segment, the speed survey was conducted at three locations (903 Casserly Road, 400' east of Smitth Road, and 1800' north of Dias Lane ). The average 85th-percentile speed of 44.0 mph indicates a 45 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 34 mph to 43 mph and the suggested speed limit is above this range. The segment accident rate of 1.85 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate and presence of horizontal/vertical curves justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 35 mph .




# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY 

## STREET: East Zayante Road

SURVEY DATE: 6/3/2019
FROM: Graham Hill Road
TO: $\quad 0.10$ miles north of Lompico Road

## SPEED DATA

| Location of Speed Survey | See Comment | Posted Speed Limit | 40 mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time of Speed Survey | $9: 38$ AM - 10:34 AM, 2:40 PM - 3:33 PM | Recommended Speed Limit | 40 mph |
| 50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) | 41.6 mph | Speed Limit Change | No |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 46.4 mph |  |  |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | $37-46 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | $74.3 \%$ |  |  |
| Number of Survey Samples | 200 |  |  |

## COLLISION HISTORY

Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 18
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 1.23
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

| Average Daily Traffic | 4,938 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Type of Traffic Control | Signal at Graham Hill Road |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Low |
| Truck Traffic | Low (some delivery trucks) |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | $14256^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width | $22^{\prime}-24 ;$ |
| Number of Lanes | NB $-1 \quad$ SB -1 |
| Street Classification | Collector |
| Divided Median? | No |
| Designated Bike Route? | No |
| $\quad$ Bike Lanes? | No |
| Uncontrolled Crosswalks? | No |
| On-Street Parking? | No |
| Sidewalks? | No |
| Driveways? | Few |
| Vertical Curve | No |
| Horizontal Curve | Yes |
| Visibility | Moderate |
| Pavement Condition | Good |
| Adjacent Land Use | Rural |

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

For this segment, the speed survey was conducted at two locations ( 8454 E Zayante Rd and 7535 E Zayante Rd). The average 85th-percentile speed of 46.4 mph indicates a 45 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 37 mph to 46 mph and the suggested speed limit is within this range. The segment accident rate of 1.23 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves and driveways justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 40 mph .



# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY 

| STREET: | East Zayante Road | SURVEY DATE: $6 / 3 / 2019$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FROM: | 0.10 miles north of Lompico Road | TO: |


| SPEED DATA |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location of Speed Survey | See Comment | Posted Speed Limit | 25 mph |
| Time of Speed Survey | $12: 25 \mathrm{PM}-2: 25 \mathrm{PM}, 3: 43 \mathrm{PM}-5: 43 \mathrm{PM}$ | Recommended Speed Limit | 25 mph |
| 50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) | 27.1 mph | Speed Limit Change | No |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 31.2 mph |  |  |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | $23-32 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | $84.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Number of Survey Samples | 107 |  |  |

## COLLISION HISTORY

Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 5
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 2.95
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

| Average Daily Traffic | 878 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Type of Traffic Control | All-way stop control at Valley View Avenue |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Low |
| Truck Traffic | Low |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | $9293^{\prime}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Width | $20^{\prime}-22^{\prime}$ |  |
| Number of Lanes | NB -1 | SB -1 |
| Street Classification | Collector |  |
| Divided Median? | No |  |
| Designated Bike Route? | No |  |
| Bike Lanes? | No |  |
| Uncontrolled Crosswalks? | No |  |
| On-Street Parking? | No |  |
| Sidewalks? | No |  |
| Driveways? | Many |  |
| Vertical Curve | Yes |  |
| Horizontal Curve | Yes |  |
| Visibility | Poor |  |
| Pavement Condition | Moderate, Poor within the northern segment |  |
| Adjacent Land Use | Rural |  |

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

For this segment, the speed survey was conducted at two locations ( 9566 E Zayante Rd and 250' south of 10300 E Zayante Rd). The average 85th-percentile speed of 31.2 mph indicates a 30 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 23 mph to 32 mph and the suggested speed limit is within this range. The segment accident rate of 2.95 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate and sight distance constraints (per 2019 survey) justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 25 mph .



STREET: Empire Grade
SURVEY DATE: 5/24/2019
FROM: Santa Cruz City Limits
TO: $\quad 1500 \mathrm{ft}$ north of Ben Lomond Conservation Camp

## SPEED DATA

| Location of Speed Survey | See Comments | Posted Speed Limit | 40 mph |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time of Speed Survey | 8:15 AM - 10:15 AM, 12:00 AM - 12:00 AM, 3:50 PM - 12:00 AM, 5:20 PM 12:00 AM, 11:45 AM - 12:00 AM, 12:00 AM - 12:00 AM, 3:55 PM - 12:00 AM | Recommended Speed Limit | 40 mph |
| 50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) | 44.0 mph | Speed Limit Change | No |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 50.5 mph |  |  |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | 41-50 mph |  |  |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | 63.5\% |  |  |
| Number of Survey Samples | 154 |  |  |

## COLLISION HISTORY

Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 46
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 1.87

Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

| Average Daily Traffic |  | 1,855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Type of Traffic Control |  | None |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Low |  |
| Truck Traffic | Low |  |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | $64576^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width | $23^{\prime}-30^{\prime}$ |
| Number of Lanes | NB $-1 \quad$ SB -1 |
| Street Classification | Collector |
| Divided Median? | No |
| Designated Bike Route? | No |
| Bike Lanes? | No |
| Uncontrolled Crosswalks? | No |
| On-Street Parking? | No |
| Sidewalks? | No |
| Driveways? | Few |
| Vertical Curve | Yes |
| Horizontal Curve | Yes |
| Visibility | Poor |
| Pavement Condition | Moderate |
| Adjacent Land Use | Rural and School (located near the south end of the segment) |

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

For this segment, the speed survey was conducted at seven locations (200' south of the crosswalk at Chinquapin Trail Head, 3000' south of Smith Grade, 50 ' north of McGivern Way, 200' south of Feather Ln, 9255 Empire Grade, 12200 Empire Grade, and at the Ben Lomond Conservation Camp \#45). The average 85 th -percentile speed of 50.5 mph indicates a 50 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 40 mph to 49 mph and the suggested speed limit is above this range. The segment accident rate of 1.74 is higher than the statewide average rate of 1.06 . The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves and sight distance constraints (per 2019 survey) justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 40 mph .



Santa Cruz City Limits to 1500 ft north of Ben Lomond
Street Name: Empire Grade
Limits: Conservation Camp


Radar Survey Sheet X = East / = West


Radar Survey Sheet X = East / = West


Total Samples $=200$

85th Percentile Speed: 47.3 mph
50th Percentile Speed: 43.3 mph
15th Percentile Speed: 36.9 mph
10 MPH Pace: 39-48
Number in Pace: 137
Percent in Pace: 68.5\%

Date of Survey: 5/24/19
Weather: Sunny
Pavement Condition: Moderate
Street Class.: Collector
Field Study by: IDAX / KHA

> | Start Time: | $4: 06 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| End Time: | $5: 20 \mathrm{PM}$ |

Posted
Speed: 40 mph

23' - 30'
Diagram Not To Scale
Radar Survey Sheet X = East / = West




# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY 

| Empire Grade |  | SURVEY DATE: 6/4/2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1500 ft north of Ben Lomond Conservation Camp |  | TO: End of road at Lockh | d Facility |
| SPEED DATA |  |  |  |
| Location of Speed Survey | 2400' E/O Bertoli Dr | Posted Speed Limit | 40 mph |
| Time of Speed Survey | 4:00 PM - 6:00 PM | Recommended Speed Limit | 40 mph |
| 50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) | 42.0 mph | Speed Limit Change | No |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 49.1 mph |  |  |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | 40-49 mph |  |  |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | 59.0\% |  |  |
| Number of Survey Samples | 78 |  |  |

## COLLISION HISTORY

Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 2
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 2.31
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

| Average Daily Traffic |  | 357 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Type of Traffic Control | None |  |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Low |  |
| Truck Traffic | Low |  |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | $11700^{\prime}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Width | $23^{\prime}$ |  |
| Number of Lanes | NB -1 | SB -1 |
| Street Classification | Collector |  |
| Divided Median? | No |  |
| Designated Bike Route? | No |  |
| $\quad$ Bike Lanes? | No |  |
| Uncontrolled Crosswalks? | No |  |
| On-Street Parking? | No |  |
| Sidewalks? | No |  |
| Driveways? | Few |  |
| Vertical Curve | Yes |  |
| Horizontal Curve | Yes |  |
| Visibility | Poor |  |
| Pavement Condition | Moderate |  |
| Adjacent Land Use | Rural |  |

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

The 85th-percentile speed of 49.1 mph indicates a 50 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 40 mph to 49 mph and the suggested speed limit is above this range. The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves and sight distance constraints (per 2019 survey) justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8 . Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 40 mph .


# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY 

STREET: Glen Arbor Road
SURVEY DATE: 6/3/2019
FROM: $\quad 0.30$ miles north of Highway 9
TO: Newell Creek Bridge

| SPEED DATA |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location of Speed Survey | 8935 Glen Arbor Rd | Posted Speed Limit | 30 mph |
| Time of Speed Survey | $10: 56 \mathrm{AM}-11: 55 \mathrm{AM}$ | Recommended Speed Limit | 30 mph |
| 50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) | 34.9 mph | Speed Limit Change | No |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 39.4 mph |  |  |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | $31-40 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | $71.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Number of Survey Samples | 200 |  |  |

## COLLISION HISTORY

Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 5
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 1.77
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

| Average Daily Traffic | 3,744 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Type of Traffic Control | All-way stop control at Hihn Road |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Low |
| Truck Traffic | Low |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | $3643^{\prime}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Width | $26^{\prime}$ |  |
| Number of Lanes | NB $-1 \quad$ SB -1 |  |
| Street Classification | Collector |  |
| Divided Median? | No |  |
| Designated Bike Route? | No |  |
| Bike Lanes? | No |  |
| Uncontrolled Crosswalks? | No |  |
| On-Street Parking? | Yes. On-street parking on the shoulder (not designated parking). Used seldom. |  |
| Sidewalks? | No |  |
| Driveways? | Many |  |
| Vertical Curve | Yes |  |
| Horizontal Curve | Yes |  |
| Visibility | Moderate |  |
| Pavement Condition | Good |  |
| Adjacent Land Use | Residential |  |

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

The 85th-percentile speed of 39.4 mph indicates a 40 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 31 mph to 40 mph and the suggested speed limit is within this range. The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves and multiple residential driveways justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 30 mph .


# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY 

| STREET: | Glen Canyon Road | SURVEY DATE: $5 / 20 / 2019$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FROM: | Branciforte Drive | TO: |

## SPEED DATA

| Location of Speed Survey | See Comment | Posted Speed Limit | 30 mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time of Speed Survey | 11:45 AM -1:45 AM, 2:30 PM - 4:30 PM | Recommended Speed Limit | 30 mph |
| 50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) | 37.6 mph | Speed Limit Change | No |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 43.0 mph |  |  |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | $34-43 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | $69.3 \%$ |  |  |
| Number of Survey Samples | 164.5 |  |  |

## COLLISION HISTORY

Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 14
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 2.59
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

| Average Daily Traffic | 1,648 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Type of Traffic Control | All-way stop control at Green Hills Road |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Low |
| Truck Traffic | Low |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | $15840^{\prime}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Width | $20^{\prime}$ |  |
| Number of Lanes | NB -1 | SB -1 |
| Street Classification | Local |  |
| Divided Median? | No |  |
| Designated Bike Route? | No |  |
| $\quad$ Bike Lanes? | No |  |
| Uncontrolled Crosswalks? | No |  |
| On-Street Parking? | No |  |
| Sidewalks? | No |  |
| Driveways? | Few |  |
| Vertical Curve | Yes |  |
| Horizontal Curve | Yes |  |
| Visibility | Poor |  |
| Pavement Condition | Moderate |  |
| Adjacent Land Use | Rural |  |

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

For this segment, the speed survey was conducted at two locations ( 752 Glen Canyon Rd and 1400 Glen Canyon Rd). The average 85thpercentile speed of 43 mph indicates a 45 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 34 mph to 43 mph and the suggested speed limit is above this range. The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves and sight distance constraints (per 2019 survey) justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 30 mph .



# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY 

STREET: Graham Hill Road
SURVEY DATE: 6/3/2019
FROM: Railroad Tracks
TO:
Highway 9

SPEED DATA
Location of Speed Survey
Time of Speed Survey
50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed)
85th Percentile Speed
10 mph Pace Speed
Percentage of Vehicles in Pace
Number of Survey Samples

150 ' south of Park Ave
8:48 AM - 9:14 AM
32.8 mph
37.4 mph

30-39 mph
69.7\%

198

## COLLISION HISTORY

Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 34
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 3.29
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 0.82-1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

| Average Daily Traffic |  | 14,984 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Type of Traffic Control |  | Traffic signal at Graham Hill Road and E Zayante Road |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Low |  |
| Truck Traffic | Low |  |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | $3326^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width | $25^{\prime}-65^{\prime}$ |
| Number of Lanes | EB $-1-2 \quad$ WB $-1-2$ |
| Street Classification | Arterial |
| Divided Median? | Yes - Discontinuous (Raised/TWLTL) |
| Designated Bike Route? | No |
| Bike Lanes? | No |
| Uncontrolled Crosswalks? | Yes |
| On-Street Parking? | No |
| Sidewalks? | Yes - Discontinuous |
| Driveways? | Many |
| Vertical Curve | None |
| Horizontal Curve | None |
| Visibility | Good |
| Pavement Condition | Good |
| Adjacent Land Use | Commercial and Park/Recreation Area |

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

The 85 th-percentile speed of 37.4 mph indicates a 35 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 30 mph to 39 mph and the suggested speed limit is within this range. The higher collision rate, uncontrolled crosswalk, and proximity to a park justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 30 mph .


Radar Survey Sh \#
X = East / = West


# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> engineering And traffic survey 

STREET: Mar Monte Avenue
FROM: San Andreas Road

SURVEY DATE: 5/31/2019
TO: Just south of intersection of Alta Drive

## SPEED DATA

| Location of Speed Survey | 200' south of Altivo Ave |
| :--- | :--- |
| Time of Speed Survey | $8: 30 \mathrm{AM}-10: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| 50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) | 26.4 mph |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 30.6 mph |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | $22-31 \mathrm{mph}$ |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | $76.0 \%$ |
| Number of Survey Samples | 200 |


| Posted Speed Limit | 25 mph |
| :--- | :--- |
| Recommended Speed Limit | 25 mph |
| Speed Limit Change | No |

85th Percentile Speed $\quad 30.6 \mathrm{mph}$
10 mph Pace Speed 22-31 mph

Number of Survey Samples 200

## COLLISION HISTORY

Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 1
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 2.39
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

Average Daily Traffic
Type of Traffic Control
Pedestrian Traffic
Truck Traffic

2,251
All-way stop control at San Andreas Road
Moderate
Low

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | $898^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width | $24^{\prime}$ |
| Number of Lanes | EB $-1 \quad$ WB -1 |
| Street Classification | Local |
| Divided Median? | No |
| Designated Bike Route? | No |
| Bike Lanes? | No |
| Uncontrolled Crosswalks? | Yes |
| On-Street Parking? | Yes - Discontinuous (parking on the shoulder but it is not designated parking) |
| Sidewalks? | No |
| Driveways? | Many |
| Vertical Curve | Yes |
| Horizontal Curve | None |
| Visibility | Good |
| Pavement Condition | Moderate |
| Adjacent Land Use | Residential |

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

The 85 th-percentile speed of 30.6 mph indicates a 30 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 22 mph to 31 mph and the suggested speed limit is within this range. The higher collision rate, uncontrolled crosswalk, presence of vertical curves and multiple residential driveways justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8 . Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 25 mph .


# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> engineering and traffic survey 

STREET: San Andreas Road
FROM: $\quad 0.25$ miles northwest of Mar Monte Avenue

SURVEY DATE: 5/31/2019
TO: $\quad 0.34$ miles southeast of SPRR underpass

## SPEED DATA

| Location of Speed Survey | 1520 San Andreas Rd | Posted Speed Limit | $30 \mathrm{mph}-40 \mathrm{mph}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time of Speed Survey | $10: 50 \mathrm{AM}-11: 53 \mathrm{AM}$ | Recommended Speed Limit | 30 mph |
| 50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) | 36.1 mph | Speed Limit Change | No |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 40.8 mph |  |  |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | $32-41 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | $72.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Number of Survey Samples | 200 |  |  |

## COLLISION HISTORY

Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 9
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 1.83
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

| Average Daily Traffic |  | 3,588 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Type of Traffic Control | All-way stop control at Mar Monte Avenue |  |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Moderate |  |
| Truck Traffic | Moderate |  |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | $6594^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Width | $32^{\prime}$ |
| Number of Lanes | EB $-1 \quad$ WB -1 |
| Street Classification | Arterial |
| Divided Median? | No |
| Designated Bike Route? | No |
| Bike Lanes? | Yes |
| Uncontrolled Crosswalks? | Yes |
| On-Street Parking? | Yes - Discontinuous (angled parking) |
| Sidewalks? | No |
| Driveways? | Many |
| Vertical Curve | Yes |
| Horizontal Curve | Yes |
| Visibility | Good |
| Pavement Condition | Good |
| Adjacent Land Use | Residential and Rural |

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

The 85 th-percentile speed of 40.8 mph indicates a 40 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 32 mph to 41 mph and the suggested speed limit is within this range. The higher collision rate, uncontrolled crosswalk, and multiple residential justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at $30 / 40 \mathrm{mph}$.


# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY 

STREET: Wheelock Road
SURVEY DATE: 5/29/2019
FROM:
Green Valley Road
TO: Casserly Road

## SPEED DATA

| Location of Speed Survey | See Comment | Posted Speed Limit | 35 mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time of Speed Survey | $11: 55 \mathrm{AM}-1: 55 \mathrm{PM}, 2: 40$ PM - 4:40 PM | Recommended Speed Limit | 35 mph |
| 50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) | 34.7 mph | Speed Limit Change | No |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 40.3 mph |  |  |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | $30-39 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | $64.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Number of Survey Samples | 86 |  |  |

COLLISION HISTORY
Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 5
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 4.72
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

| Average Daily Traffic | 786 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Type of Traffic Control | None |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Low |


| Pedestrian Traffic | Low |
| :--- | :--- |
| Truck Traffic | Low |

ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | $6494^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width | $22^{\prime}-23^{\prime}$ |
| Number of Lanes | EB $-1 \quad$ WB -1 |
| Street Classification | Collector |
| Divided Median? | No |
| Designated Bike Route? | No |
| $\quad$ Bike Lanes? | No |
| Uncontrolled Crosswalks? | No |
| On-Street Parking? | No |
| Sidewalks? | No |
| Driveways? | Few |
| Vertical Curve | Yes |
| Horizontal Curve | Yes |
| Visibility | Moderate |
| Pavement Condition | Poor |
| Adjacent Land Use | School, Residential and Rural |

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

For this segment, the speed survey was conducted at two locations (150' east of Country View Ln and 104 Wheelock Rd). The average 85thpercentile speed of 40.3 mph indicates a 40 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 30 mph to 39 mph and the suggested speed limit is above this range. The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves, and the proximity to a school justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit to remain at 35 mph .



# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY 

| STREET: Whiting Road | SURVEY DATE: $5 / 30 / 2019$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FROM: $\quad$ Casserly Road |  | TO: | 0.63 miles southwest |

## COLLISION HISTORY

Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 4
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 1.82
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

| Average Daily Traffic | 3,137 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Type of Traffic Control | All-way stop control at Casserly Road/Highway 152 |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Moderate (school located south of Casserly Road) |
| Truck Traffic | Low |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

Length of Segment 3379'
Width 21'
Number of Lanes EB-1 WB-1
Street Classification Collecto
Divided Median? No
Designated Bike Route? No
Bike Lanes? No
Uncontrolled Crosswalks? No
On-Street Parking? No
Sidewalks? No
Driveways? Few
Vertical Curve Yes
Horizontal Curve Yes
Visibility Moderate
Pavement Condition Moderate
Adjacent Land Use School, Residential and Rural

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

The 85th-percentile speed of 40.5 mph indicates a 40 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 29 mph to 38 mph and the suggested speed limit is above this range. The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves and proximity to school justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 35 mph .


# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY 

STREET: Sims Road
FROM: Graham Hill Road

SURVEY DATE: 5/20/2019
TO:
La Madrona Drive

SPEED DATA
Location of Speed Survey 190 Sims Rd
Time of Speed Survey
50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) 29.6 mph
85th Percentile Speed $\quad 33.3 \mathrm{mph}$
10 mph Pace Speed
25-34 mph
85.0\%

Number of Survey Samples 200

| Posted Speed Limit | 25 mph |
| :--- | :--- |
| Recommended Speed Limit | 25 mph |
| Speed Limit Change | No |

Posted Speed Limit 25 mph
Speed Limit Change No

## COLLISION HISTORY

Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 4
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 1.67
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

Average Daily Traffic 4,135
Type of Traffic Control Signal at Graham Hill Road and all-way stop control at La Madrone Drive
Pedestrian Traffic Low
Truck Traffic Low

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | $2798^{\prime}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Width | $27^{\prime}$ |  |
| Number of Lanes | EB $-1 \quad$ WB -1 |  |
| Street Classification | Local |  |
| Divided Median? | No |  |
| Designated Bike Route? | No |  |
| $\quad$ Bike Lanes? | No |  |
| Uncontrolled Crosswalks? | Yes |  |
| On-Street Parking? | No |  |
| Sidewalks? | No |  |
| Driveways? | Many |  |
| Vertical Curve | None |  |
| Horizontal Curve | Yes |  |
| Visibility | Good |  |
| Pavement Condition | Good |  |
| Adjacent Land Use | Residential |  |

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

The 85 th-percentile speed of 33.3 mph indicates a 35 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 25 mph to 34 mph and the suggested speed limit is above this range. The higher collision rate, uncontrolled crosswalk, proximity to Brook Knoll Elementary school, and multiple residential driveways for fronting residential justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit sign remain at 25 mph .

Street Name: Sims Road
Limits: Graham Hill Road to La Madrona Drive


Radar Survey Shı 4
X = East / = West



# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY 

| STREET:Larkin Valley Road <br> Airport Boulevard | SURVEY DATE: <br> FROM: | TO: | Buena Vista Drive |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## COLLISION HISTORY

Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 8
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 15.7
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

Average Daily Traffic
Type of Traffic Control
Pedestrian Traffic
Truck Traffic Low

ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS
Length of Segment 6019'
Width 17' - 19'
Number of Lanes NB-1 SB-1
Street Classification Collector
Divided Median? No
Designated Bike Route? No
Bike Lanes? No
Uncontrolled Crosswalks? No
On-Street Parking? No
Sidewalks? No
Driveways? Few
Vertical Curve Yes
Horizontal Curve Yes
Visibility Poor
Pavement Condition Poor
Adjacent Land Use Residential and Rural

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

For this segment, the speed survey was conducted at two locations (1800' south of Buena Vista Dr and 500' north of Growing Social School). The average 85th-percentile speed of 38 mph indicates a 40 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 27 mph to 36 mph and the suggested speed limit is above this range. The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves and sight distance constraints (per 2019 survey) justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 35 mph .



# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY 

| STREET: Larkin Valley Road FROM: Buena Vista Drive |  | SURVEY DATE: 5/23/2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TO: Mar Monte Avenue |  |
| SPEED DATA |  |  |  |
| Location of Speed Survey | See Comment | Posted Speed Limit | $35 \mathrm{mph}-40 \mathrm{mph}$ |
| Time of Speed Survey | 10:40 AM - 12:40 PM, 1:25 PM - 3:25 PM | Recommended Speed Limit | 35 mph |
| 50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) | 36.1 mph | Speed Limit Change | No |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 42.1 mph |  |  |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | 32-41 mph |  |  |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | 67.3\% |  |  |
| Number of Survey Samples | 124 |  |  |

COLLISION HISTORY
Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 10
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 2.32
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

| Average Daily Traffic | 1,544 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Type of Traffic Control | All-way stop control at Buena Vista Drive |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Low |
| Truck Traffic | Low |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | 13464 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Width | $22^{\prime}$ |  |
| Number of Lanes | NB -1 | SB -1 |
| Street Classification | Collector |  |
| Divided Median? | No |  |
| Designated Bike Route? | No |  |
| $\quad$ Bike Lanes? | No |  |
| Uncontrolled Crosswalks? | No |  |
| On-Street Parking? | No |  |
| Sidewalks? | No |  |
| Driveways? | Few |  |
| Vertical Curve | None |  |
| Horizontal Curve | Yes |  |
| Visibility | Poor |  |
| Pavement Condition | Poor |  |
| Adjacent Land Use | Residential and Rural |  |

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

For this segment, the speed survey was conducted at two locations (438 Larkin Valley Rd and between Windsong Way \& Travis Ln). The average 85th-percentile speed of 42.1 mph indicates a 40 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 32 mph to 41 mph and the suggested speed limit is within this range. The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal curve, and sight distance constraints (per 2019 survey) justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at $35 / 40 \mathrm{mph}$.



# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY 

| STREET: Amesti Rd FROM: E Rianda Road |  | SURVEY DATE: 5/28/2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| SPEED DATA |  |  |  |
| Location of Speed Survey | 250' S/O Bollinger PI | Posted Speed Limit | 35 mph |
| Time of Speed Survey | 1:05 PM - 2:35 PM | Recommended Speed Limit | 35 mph |
| 50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) | 37.8 mph | Speed Limit Change | No |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 44.0 mph |  |  |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | 33-42 mph |  |  |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | 65.5\% |  |  |
| Number of Survey Samples | 200 |  |  |

## COLLISION HISTORY

Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 3
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 1.01
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

| Average Daily Traffic | 2,704 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Type of Traffic Control | All-way stop control at Varni Road |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Low |
| Truck Traffic | Low |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Length of Segment } & 5280^{\prime} \\ \text { Width } & 24^{\prime}\end{array}$
Number of Lanes $\quad$ NB - $1 \quad$ SB - 1
Street Classification Collector
Divided Median? No
Designated Bike Route? No
Bike Lanes? No
Uncontrolled Crosswalks? No
On-Street Parking? Yes - Discontinuous (not designated on-street parking and for a small portion of the corridor)
Sidewalks? No
Driveways? Many
Vertical Curve Yes
Horizontal Curve Yes
Visibility Good
Pavement Condition Moderate
Adjacent Land Use Residential and Rural

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

The 85th-percentile speed of 44 mph indicates a 45 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 33 mph to 42 mph and the suggested speed limit is above this range. The collision rate is below the expected rate. Multiple residential driveways and presence of horizontal/vertical curves justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended the speed limit remain at 35 mph .


# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY 

| STREET:Paulsen Road <br> Green Valley Road | SURVEY DATE: <br> FROM: <br> TO: | Trembly Lane |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| SPEED DATA |  |  |  |
|  | Between Trembly Ln and Green |  |  |
| Location of Speed Survey | Valley Rd | Posted Speed Limit | 25 mph |
| Time of Speed Survey | $11: 34 \mathrm{AM}-1: 13 \mathrm{PM}$ | Recommended Speed Limit | 25 mph |
| 50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) | 25.0 mph | Speed Limit Change | No |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 31.0 mph |  |  |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | $21-30 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | $64.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Number of Survey Samples | 200 |  |  |

## COLLISION HISTORY

Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 4
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 6.95
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

| Average Daily Traffic |  | 3,758 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Type of Traffic Control | Signal at Green Valley Road |  |  |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Low | (school bus observed during field visit) |  |
| Truck Traffic | Low |  |  |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | $739^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width | $29^{\prime}$ |
| Number of Lanes | EB $-1 \quad$ WB -1 |
| Street Classification | Collector |
| Divided Median? | No |
| Designated Bike Route? | No |
| Bike Lanes? | No |
| Uncontrolled Crosswalks? | No |
| On-Street Parking? | Yes - south side has designated parking, north side parked on shoulder |
| Sidewalks? | Yes - South side |
| Driveways? | Many |
| Vertical Curve | None |
| Horizontal Curve | None |
| Visibility | Good |
| Pavement Condition | Poor |
| Adjacent Land Use | Residential |

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

The 85th-percentile speed of 31 mph indicates a 30 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 21 mph to 30 mph and the suggested speed limit is within this range. The higher collision rate and multiple residential driveways justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8 . Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit increase remain at 25 mph .


Field Study by: $\overline{\text { IDAX / KHA }}$

# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY 

STREET: Paulsen Road SURVEY DATE: 6/7/2019
FROM: Trembly LaneTO: Whiting Road
SPEED DATA

| Location of Speed Survey | 195 Paulsen Rd | Posted Speed Limit | $25 \mathrm{mph}-35 \mathrm{mph}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time of Speed Survey | $3: 00 \mathrm{PM}-4: 05 \mathrm{PM}$ | Recommended Speed Limit | 25 mph |
| 50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) | 35.8 mph | Speed Limit Change | No |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 40.3 mph |  |  |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | $32-41 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | $72.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Number of Survey Samples | 200 |  |  |

## COLLISION HISTORY

Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions ..... 5
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) ..... 1.13
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) ..... 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

| Average Daily Traffic |  | 3,144 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Type of Traffic Control |  | None |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Low |  |
| Truck Traffic | Low |  |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | $6811^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Width | $23^{\prime}$ |
| Number of Lanes | EB $-1 \quad$ WB -1 |
| Street Classification | Collector |
| Divided Median? | No |
| Designated Bike Route? | No |
| Bike Lanes? | No |
| Uncontrolled Crosswalks? | No |
| On-Street Parking? | No |
| Sidewalks? | Yes - Discontinuous |
| Driveways? | Few |
| Vertical Curve | Yes |
| Horizontal Curve | Yes |
| Visibility | Moderate |
| Pavement Condition | Poor |
| Adjacent Land Use | Residential and Rural |

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

The 85th-percentile speed of 40.3 mph indicates a 40 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 32 mph to 41 mph and the suggested speed limit is within this range. The higher collision rate, presence of horizontal/vertical curves, and slow-moving farm vehicle crossings justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at $25 / 35 \mathrm{mph}$.


Field Study by: $\overline{\text { IDAX / KHA }}$

# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY 

STREET: Buena Vista Drive
FROM: San Andreas Road

SURVEY DATE: 5/31/2019
TO: Buena Vista Landfill Driveway

SPEED DATA

| Location of Speed Survey | 1700 ' east of Whiskey Hill Rd | Posted Speed Limit | 35 mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time of Speed Survey | $12: 20 \mathrm{PM}-2: 05 \mathrm{PM}$ | Recommended Speed Limit | 35 mph |
| 50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) | 36.5 mph | Speed Limit Change | No |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 41.8 mph |  |  |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | $34-43 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | $73.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Number of Survey Samples | 200 |  |  |

## COLLISION HISTORY

Number of Years Studied 3
Total Collisions 5
Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) 2.23
Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) 1.06

## TRAFFIC FACTORS

| Average Daily Traffic | 1,778 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Type of Traffic Control | None |  |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Low |  |
| Truck Traffic | Low | (No trucks were observed, but segment is near a landfill) |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | $6072^{\prime}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Width | $24^{\prime}$ |  |
| Number of Lanes | EB $-1 \quad$ WB -1 |  |
| Street Classification | Collector |  |
| Divided Median? | No |  |
| Designated Bike Route? | No |  |
| Bike Lanes? | No |  |
| Uncontrolled Crosswalks? | No |  |
| On-Street Parking? | No |  |
| Sidewalks? | No |  |
| Driveways? | Few |  |
| Vertical Curve | Yes |  |
| Horizontal Curve | Yes |  |
| Visibility | Good |  |
| Pavement Condition | Poor |  |
| Adjacent Land Use | Rural |  |

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

The 85th-percentile speed of 41.8 mph indicates a 40 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 34 mph to 43 mph and the suggested speed limit is within this range. The higher collision rate and presence of horizontal/vertical curves justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at 35 mph .


# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ <br> ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY 

| STREET: Buena Vista Drive <br> FROM: Buena Vista Landfill Driveway |  | SURVEY DATE: 5/31/20 <br> TO: Highway 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPEED DATA |  |  |  |
| Location of Speed Survey | 300' south of Harkins Slough Rd | Posted Speed Limit | $35 \mathrm{mph}-40 \mathrm{mph}$ |
| Time of Speed Survey | 2:15 PM - 2:56 PM | Recommended Speed Limit | 35 mph |
| 50th Percentile Speed (Mean Speed) | 34.9 mph | Speed Limit Change | No |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 39.4 mph |  |  |
| 10 mph Pace Speed | 31-40 mph |  |  |
| Percentage of Vehicles in Pace | 76.5\% |  |  |
| Number of Survey Samples | 200 |  |  |

## COLLISION HISTORY

| Number of Years Studied | 3 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Collisions | 16 |  |
| Collision Rate (ACC/MVM) | 4.16 |  |
| Expected Collisions (ACC/MVM) | 1.06 |  |
|  |  |  |
| TRAFFIC FACTORS |  |  |
| Average Daily Traffic | 2,525 |  |
| Type of Traffic Control | None |  |
| Pedestrian Traffic | Low |  |
| Truck Traffic | Low | (No trucks were observed, but segment is near a landfill) |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Length of Segment | 7339 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Width | $26^{\prime}$ |  |
| Number of Lanes | EB $-1 \quad$ WB -1 |  |
| Street Classification | Collector |  |
| Divided Median? | No |  |
| Designated Bike Route? | No |  |
| $\quad$ Bike Lanes? | No |  |
| Uncontrolled Crosswalks? | No |  |
| On-Street Parking? | No |  |
| Sidewalks? | No |  |
| Driveways? | Few |  |
| Vertical Curve | Yes |  |
| Horizontal Curve | Yes |  |
| Visibility | Good |  |
| Pavement Condition | Poor |  |
| Adjacent Land Use | Residential and Rural |  |

## COMMENTS \& JUSTIFICATION

The 85 th-percentile speed of 39.4 mph indicates a 40 mph speed limit. The 10 mph pace ranges from 31 mph to 40 mph and the suggested speed limit is within this range. The higher collision rate and presence of horizontal/vertical curves justify maintaining the existing posted speed limit as per CVC Section 22358.8. Therefore, it is recommended that the speed limit remain at $35 / 40 \mathrm{mph}$.



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ California Legislative Information, California Law, Vehicle Code, Division 1, Section 235, 2019.
    ${ }^{2}$ California Legislative Information, California Law, Vehicle Code, Division 1, Section 515, 2019.
    ${ }^{3}$ California Legislative Information, California Law, Vehicle Code, Division 11. Chapter 7, Section 22357(a), 2019.

